

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/642,810 08/14/2003		08/14/2003	Len Ekkert	1620-82491	1620-82491 3127	
24628	7590	03/04/2005		EXAMINER		
WELSH & KATZ, LTD			ELOSHWAY, NIKI MARINA			
120 S RIVE	RSIDE PL	.AZA				
22ND FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
CHICAGO, IL 60606				3727		

DATE MAILED: 03/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	Office Action Summary	10/642,810	Art Unit			
		Examiner				
	The MAU INC DATE of this communication can	Niki M. Eloshway	3727			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sisions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	of (a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) 🔲	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3) 🗌	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application	on Papers					
9) 🔲 -	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment	(s)					
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa				

Application/Control Number: 10/642,810 Page 2

Art Unit: 3727

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 4-6, 8, 9, 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Atkinson (U.S. 5,705,212). Atkinson teaches a cap-utensil combination comprising a cap 14, a rib structure 30, 32, and a utensil 22. The cap has a top 14a and a side wall 14b. The utensil 22 has first and second points of contact at 30 and 32 and a third point of contact with the top wall, shown in fig. 2.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 6-9, 11-15, 18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Stanish (U.S. 4,216,875). Stanish teaches a cap-utensil combination 20 comprising a cap 24, a rib structure 42, and a utensil 52. The cap has a top 28 and a side wall 34. The utensil 52 has first and second points of contact at opposite ends 64 and 62 and a third point of contact with the top wall at 68.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 10 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stanish (U.S. 4,216,875) in view of Murdick et al. (U.S. 5,706,974). Stanish discloses the claimed invention except

Application/Control Number: 10/642,810 Page 3

Art Unit: 3727

for the material section of the utensil having a square cross-sectional configuration. Murdick et al. teaches that it is known to provide a cap/utensil combination with a utensil having a square material section (see figure 5). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the cap/utensil combination with the material section having a square configuration, as taught by Murdick et al., since it has been held that a change of shape is a matter of choice which a person of ordinary skill in the art would have found obvious absent persuasive evidence that the particular configuration of the claimed container was significant (*In re Dailey*, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966)).

6. Claims 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stanish (U.S. 4,216,875) in view of Atkinson (U.S. 5,705,212). Stanish discloses the claimed invention except for the rib structure having a plurality of rib segments. Atkinson teaches that it is known to provide a cap/utensil combination with a plurality of rib segments (see elements 30 and 32). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the cap/utensil combination of Stanish with the continuous rib being replaced by a plurality of rib segments, such as those of the Atkinson cap, in order to save on material costs and increase the flexibility of the cap.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art is cited for the cap/utensil combination.
- 8. THIS ACTION IS NON-FINAL.
- 9. In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Technology Center 3700 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly to (703)872-9306. This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who

Application/Control Number: 10/642,810 Page 4

Art Unit: 3727

authorize charges to a USPTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX will be promptly forwarded to the examiner.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Niki M. Eloshway whose telephone number is (571) 272-4538. The examiner is in the office on Thursdays and Fridays. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the 3700 Receptionist at (703) 308-1148.

Niki M. Eloshway/nme

Patent Examine March 3, 2005